

Tranexamic acid for safer surgery: the time is now

The UK Royal Colleges Tranexamic Acid in Surgery Implementation Group, Michael P. W. Grocott^{1,2}, Mike Murphy³, Ian Roberts^{4,*}, Rob Sayers^{5,6} and Cheng-Hock Toh^{7,8}

*Correspondence to: E-mail: Ian.Roberts@lshtm.ac.uk

This article has been published simultaneously in British Journal of Anaesthesia and BJS, and both publications should be cited.

There are about 310 million major surgical procedures performed each year worldwide, with more than 4 million deaths within 30 days postoperatively¹. Nearly 8 million surgical procedures are carried out each year in the UK¹. Although surgical mortality appears to be decreasing in Britain, ~85 000 people die within 30 days, increasing to 178 000 deaths within 90 days². Major bleeding is strongly associated with 30-day mortality, accounting for the largest share (16 per cent) of deaths³. Major bleeding, mostly on the day of surgery, is more common than sepsis. For this reason, the strong evidence that tranexamic acid substantially reduces the risk of surgical bleeding is important to anaesthetists, surgeons, patients, and healthcare systems.

Tranexamic acid reduces bleeding by inhibiting the proteolysis of fibrin blood clots by plasmin. Evidence that tranexamic acid prevents surgical bleeding, reducing the need for blood transfusion and for reoperation because of bleeding, has been available for a decade^{4,5}, but uncertainty about the risk of thromboembolic events have limited its use. Large randomized trials in trauma (20211 patients) and postpartum haemorrhage (20 060 patients) show that tranexamic acid significantly reduces bleeding deaths without increasing the risk of thrombosis^{6,7}. Recent meta-analyses of randomized trials show no increased risk of thromboembolic events with tranexamic acid (risk ratio (RR)=1.00; 95 per cent c.i. 0.93-1.08). Although these analyses included clinical trial data on more than 100 000 patients, many of the trials were small, which limits the reliability of the conclusions^{8,9}. However, the larger (RR=0.96; 95 per cent c.i. 0.85-1.07) and high-quality trials (RR=0.98; 95 per cent c.i. 0.90-1.06) also showed no evidence of increased risk.

The recent publication of the POISE-3 (Peri-Operative Ischemic Evaluation-3) trial is a major contribution to our understanding of the benefits and risks of tranexamic acid in surgery, and deserves urgent attention¹⁰. The POISE-3 trial randomly allocated 9535 adults at risk of bleeding and cardiovascular complications undergoing noncardiac surgery to receive tranexamic acid or matching placebo. It found that tranexamic acid reduces major

bleeding by ~25 per cent and significantly reduces blood transfusion. The reduction in major bleeding was similar regardless of type of surgery, also in keeping with previous results.

The primary safety outcome in the POISE-3 trial was a composite of myocardial injury, non-haemorrhagic stroke, peripheral arterial thrombosis, and symptomatic proximal venous thromboembolism. This outcome occurred in 14.2 per cent of patients in the tranexamic acid group and 13.9 per cent patients in the placebo group (hazard risk [HR]=1.02; 95 per cent c.i. 0.92-1.14) indicating a low probability of a small (0.3 per cent) increase in risk. Before the POISE-3 trial, the ATACAS (Aspirin and Tranexamic Acid for Coronary Artery Surgery) trial was the largest trial of tranexamic acid in surgery¹¹. A total of 4661 patients scheduled to have coronary artery bypass surgery and at risk for perioperative complications were randomly allocated to receive tranexamic acid or placebo. The primary outcome, a composite of death and thrombotic complications within 30 days of surgery, occurred in 16.7 per cent of the tranexamic acid group and in 18.1 per cent of the placebo group (RR=0.92; 95 per cent c.i. 0.81-1.05), indicating a low probability of a small decrease (1.4 per cent) in risk. The ATACAS trial also found that tranexamic acid reduced the risk of reoperation because of major bleeding and reduced receipt of a blood transfusion.

For reasons of statistical power, it is almost impossible to detect a small increase or decrease in the risk of thrombosis with tranexamic acid, even in clinical trials in high-risk patients. Because bleeding is common and thromboembolic events are comparatively rare, in our opinion the balance of benefits and risks clearly favours the use of tranexamic acid. It may seem counterintuitive to give both tranexamic acid to reduce surgical bleeding and anticoagulants to prevent venous thrombosis. However, we need to protect patients from both of these complications, and based on the available clinical trial evidence, tranexamic acid does not appear to increase the risk of thrombosis^{8,9}.

Received: July 05, 2022. Accepted: July 05, 2022

© The Author(s) 2022 published by Oxford University Press on behalf of BJS Society Ltd and published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of British Journal of Anaesthesia Society.

¹Royal College of Anaesthetists, London, UK

²NIHR Southampton Biomedical Research Centre, University Hospital Southampton/University of Southampton, Southampton, UK

³NHS Blood and Transplant, Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust and the University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

⁴Clinical Trials Unit, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK

⁵University of Leicester, Leicester, UK

⁶Royal College of Surgeons of England, London, UK

⁷University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK

⁸Royal College of Physicians, London, UK

Tranexamic acid is inexpensive and its use in surgery is cost-effective even when resources are severely limited¹². The reduction in surgical blood transfusion has important implications for transfusion-transmitted infections, especially in sub-Saharan Africa. In addition to improving patient outcomes, wider use of tranexamic acid in surgery would reduce transfusion-related risks, notably human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), hepatitis B and C, and risks from newly emerging viruses 13. Surveys show that patients and healthcare providers would prefer to avoid bleeding and the need for blood transfusion 14.

Tranexamic acid for 'adults who are having surgery and are expected to have moderate (>500 ml) blood loss' has been a National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) quality standard since 2016¹⁵. However, a recent UK National Health Service Blood & Transplant (NHSBT) national comparative audit of compliance with this standard showed that at least one-third of patients undergoing such surgery did not receive it 16. We estimate that compliance with the quality standard would prevent more than 15000 major surgical bleeds, and save 33000 units of blood and many millions of pounds for the NHS each year. To reap these benefits, we have established an implementation group with representation from the Royal College of Surgeons of England, the Royal College of Anaesthetists, and the Royal College of Physicians. It is not our role to dictate how individual surgical patients should be treated. Our aim is to make sure that all surgeons and anaesthetists are aware of the benefits of tranexamic acid use in surgery. We suggest that tranexamic acid use is considered in all adults having in-patient surgery and that 'consideration of tranexamic acid use' is included in the Surgical Safety Checklist of all hospitals.

We plan to disseminate evidence about tranexamic acid in surgery in journals, websites, via the UK Federation of Surgical Specialty Associations, and on social media with short video clips. We have sought the support of our trainee (surgical, anaesthetic, and haematology) networks to spearhead local leadership and will work with implementation scientists and the audit programme on audit, feedback, and benchmarking. The NHS has a system in which effective care is incentivized by per-patient payments to hospitals. This system helped to increase tranexamic acid use in trauma after the CRASH-2 (Clinical Randomisation of an Antifibrinolytic in Significant Haemorrhage-2) trial and we will try to use this approach as well¹⁷. We hope that the relevant professional groups in other countries will take similar steps since this should be a global effort. To this end, we have asked the WHO to consider inclusion of tranexamic acid on the WHO Surgical Safety Checklist. Wider use of tranexamic acid will improve surgical safety, reduce unnecessary blood use, and release funds for other purposes within the healthcare system. We have the evidence, we now need to act on it.

Disclosure The authors declare no conflict of interest

References

- 1. Weiser T.G., Haynes A.B., Molina G., Lipsitz S.R., Esquivel M.M., Uribe-Leitz T., et al. Estimate of the global volume of surgery in 2012: an assessment supporting improved health outcomes. Lancet 2015; 385: S11
- 2. Abbott T.E.F., Fowler A.J., Dobbs T.D., Harrison E.M., Gillies M.A., Pearse R.M. Frequency of surgical treatment and related

- hospital procedures in the UK: a national ecological study using hospital episode statistics. Br J Anaesth 2017; 119: 249-257
- 3. Vascular Events in Noncardiac Surgery Patients Cohort Evaluation (VISION) Study Investigators, Spence J., LeManach Y., Chan M.T.V., et al. Association between complications and death within 30 days after noncardiac surgery. CMAJ 2019; **191**: E830-E837
- Ker K., Edwards P., Perel P., Shakur H., Roberts I. Effect of tranexamic acid on surgical bleeding: systematic review and cumulative meta-analysis. BMJ 2012; 344: e3054
- Ker K., Prieto-Merino D., Roberts I. Systematic review, meta-analysis and meta-regression of the effect of tranexamic acid on surgical blood loss. Br J Surg 2013; 100: 1271-1279
- The CRASH-2 trial collaborators, Shaku H., Roberts I., Bautista R., Caballero J., Coats T., Dewan Y., et al. Effects of tranexamic acid on death, vascular occlusive events, and blood transfusion in trauma patients with significant haemorrhage (CRASH-2): a randomised, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet 2010; **376**: 23-32
- 7. WOMAN Trial Collaborators. Effect of early administration of tranexamic acid on mortality, hysterectomy, other morbidities in women with postpartum haemorrhage (The WOMAN trial): a randomised, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet 2017; 389: 2105-2116
- 8. Taeuber I., Weibel S., Herrmann E., Neef V., Schlesinger T., Kranke P., et al. Association of intravenous tranexamic acid with thromboembolic events and mortality: a systematic review, meta-analysis, and meta-regression. JAMA Surg 2021; 156: e210884
- Murao S., Nakata H., Roberts I., Yamakawa K. Effect of tranexamic acid on thrombotic events and seizures in bleeding patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Crit Care 2021; 25: 380
- 10. Devereaux P.J., Marcucci M., Painter T.W., Conen D., Lomivorotov V., Sessler D.I., et al. Tranexamic acid in patients undergoing noncardiac surgery. N Engl J Med 2022; 386:
- 11. Myles P.S., Smith J.A., Forbes A., Silbert B., Jayarajah M., Painter T., et al. Tranexamic acid in patients undergoing coronary-artery surgery. N Engl J Med 2017; 376: 136-148
- 12. Guerriero C., Cairns J., Jayaraman S., Roberts I., Perel P., Shakur H. Giving tranexamic acid to reduce surgical bleeding in sub-Saharan Africa: an economic evaluation. Cost Eff Resour Alloc 2010: 8: 1
- 13. Jayaraman S., Chalabi Z., Perel P., Guerriero C., Roberts I. The risk of transfusion-transmitted infections in sub-Saharan Africa. Transfusion 2010; 50: 433-442
- 14. Vetter T.R., Adhami L.F., Porterfield J.R. Jr., Marques M.B. Perceptions about blood transfusion: a survey of surgical patients and their anesthesiologists and surgeons. Anesth Analg 2014; 118: 1301-1308
- 15. NICE quality standards for blood transfusion 2016. Available from: https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/qs138. (Accessed 13 July 2022).
- 16. NHS Blood & Transplant. National comparative audit of NICE quality standards for transfusion. Available from: https:// hospital.blood.co.uk/audits/national-comparative-audit/nationalcomparative-audit-reports/. (Accessed 13 July 2022).
- 17. Coats T.J., Fragoso-Iñiguez M., Roberts I. Implementation of tranexamic acid for bleeding trauma patients: a longitudinal and cross-sectional study. Emerg Med J 2019; 36: 78-81



European Colorectal Congress

28 November – 1 December 2022, St.Gallen, Switzerland

Monday, 28 November 2022

09.50

Opening and welcome

Jochen Lange, St.Gallen, CH

10.00

It is leaking! Approaches to salvaging an anastomosis

Willem Bemelman, Amsterdam, NL

10 30

Predictive and diagnostic markers of anastomotic leak

Andre D'Hoore, Leuven, BE

11.00

SATELLITE SYMPOSIUM

ETHICON
PART OF THE Columns of Companies

11.45

Of microbes and men – the unspoken story of anastomotic leakage

James Kinross, London, UK

12.15 **LUNCH**

13.45

Operative techniques to reduce anastomotic recurrence in Crohn's disease Laura Hancock, Manchester, UK

14.15

Innovative approaches in the treatment of complex Crohn Diseases perianal fistula Christianne Buskens, Amsterdam, NL

14.45

To divert or not to divert in Crohn surgery – technical aspects and patient factors
Pär Myrelid, Linköping, SE

15.15

COFFEE BREAK

15.45

Appendiceal neoplasia – when to opt for a minimal approach, when and how to go for a maximal treatment

Tom Cecil, Basingstoke, Hampshire, UK

16.15

SATELLITE SYMPOSIUM

Medtronic

Further, Togethe

17.00

Outcomes of modern induction therapies and Wait and Watch strategies, Hope or Hype Antonino Spinelli, Milano, IT

17.30

EAES Presidential Lecture - Use of ICG in colorectal surgery: beyond bowel perfusion Salvador Morales-Conde, Sevilla, ES



18.00

Get-Together with your colleagues

Industrial Exhibition

Tuesday, 29 November 2022

9.00

CONSULTANT'S CORNER

Michel Adamina, Winterthur, CH

10.30

COFFEE BREAK

11.00

SATELLITE SYMPOSIUM

INTUITIVE

11.45

Trends in colorectal oncology and clinical insights for the near future Rob Glynne-Jones, London, UK

12.15 **LUNCH**

13.45
VIDEO SESSION

14 15

SATELLITE SYMPOSIUM



15.00

COFFEE BREAK

15.30

The unsolved issue of TME: open, robotic, transanal, or laparoscopic – shining light on evidence and practice

Des Winter, Dublin, IE Jim Khan, London, UK Brendan Moran, Basingstoke, UK

16.30

SATELLITE SYMPOSIUM





17.15 **Lars Pahlman lecture** Søren Laurberg, Aarhus, DK

Thursday, 1 December 2022

Masterclass in Colorectal Surgery

Proctology Day

Wednesday, 30 November 2022

9.00

Advanced risk stratification in colorectal cancer – choosing wisely surgery and adjuvant therapy

Philip Quirke, Leeds, UK

09.30

Predictors for Postoperative Complications and Mortality

Ronan O'Connell, Dublin, IE

10.00

Segmental colectomy versus extended colectomy for complex cancer Quentin Denost, Bordeaux, FR

10.30

COFFEE BREAK

11.00

Incidental cancer in polyp - completion surgery or endoscopy treatment alone? Laura Beyer-Berjot, Marseille, FR

11.30

SATELLITE SYMPOSIUM



12.00

Less is more – pushing the boundaries of full-thickness rectal resection Xavier Serra-Aracil, Barcelona, ES

12.30

LUNCH

14.00

Management of intestinal neuroendocrine neoplasia Frédéric Ris, Geneva, CH

14.30

Poster Presentation & Best Poster AwardMichel Adamina, Winterthur, CH

15.00

SATELLITE SYMPOSIUM

OLYMPUS

15.45

COFFEE BREAK

16.1

Reoperative pelvic floor surgery – dealing with perineal hernia, reoperations, and complex reconstructions
Guillaume Meurette, Nantes, FR

16.45

Salvage strategies for rectal neoplasia Roel Hompes, Amsterdam, NL

7.15

Beyond TME – technique and results of pelvic exenteration and sacrectomy Paris Tekkis, London, UK

10.20

FESTIVE EVENING